Unusual corrections to scaling in entanglement entropy

Jacopo Viti

Statistical Physics Group SISSA

Journal Club, March 26 2010

Summary

- Entanglement entropies in 1+1 QFT (review)
- Usual and unusual corrections to scaling in Entanglement entropies

Entanglement (again)

• Consider a many body state $|\psi\rangle$, i.e. the g.s. of a many body Hamiltonian *H*.



 My simplest example is the g.s. of a spin chain Simplest because: *H* = ⊗^N_{i=1}*H_i*,

$$\begin{split} |\psi\rangle &= \sum_{i_1,...,i_N=\pm} c_{i_1,...,i_N} |i_1,...,i_N\rangle = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{d_B} \sum_{i=1}^{d_A} c_{ij} |\psi_i^A\rangle |\phi_j^B\rangle \end{split}$$



March 2010

3/1

 We allow manipulations on *H_A* (*U*(*d_A*)) and *H_B*, (*U*(*d_B*)) and ask: How much |ψ⟩ is factorized? Useful quantity:

$$(
ho_A)_{ij} = (\mathrm{Tr}_B |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{d_B} c_{ik} c_{kj}^* = \left(cc^{\dagger}_{\mathcal{O}}\right)_{ij}$$

Entanglement entropies (again)

• After partitioning the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$

$$|\psi
angle = \sum_{i=1}^{d_A} \sum_{j=1}^{d_B} c_{ij} |\psi_i^A
angle |\phi_j^B
angle$$

• Diagonalizing the matrix C:



• Trivial fact: λ_i are eigenvalues of ρ_A (ρ_B)

$$|\psi
angle = \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} \sqrt{\lambda_i} |\psi_i'
angle |\phi_i'
angle$$
 Schmidt decomposition

Quantify how much λ_i are spread inside |ψ):

$$S_n(\ket{\psi}) = rac{1}{1-n} \log \operatorname{Tr}
ho_A^n$$

A question...

Question:

Q: Given *c_{ij}*, randomly distributed on a sphere *d_A* × *d_B* how it is distributed the Von Neumann entropy *S*₁ (|ψ)?

Answer: [Lewenstein, Les Houches lectures 2009]

• If *d*_{*A*} ≪ *d*_{*B*}:

$$\langle \mathcal{S}_1 \left(|\psi
angle
ight)
angle \sim \log d_{\!A} - rac{d_{\!A}}{2 d_{\!B}} \qquad ext{(volume law)},$$

 Means: A many body random state is typically maximally entangled (of course physical states are eigenstates of Hamiltonian...)

Replicas and Reny entropies

• Consider a d = 1 + 1 QFT at finite temperature $\frac{1}{\beta}$

$$\rho = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\text{states}} e^{-\beta E_n} |E_n\rangle \langle E_n| \stackrel{\beta \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} |0\rangle \langle 0|$$

• Partition function can be represented as:

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D} \Phi e^{-\int_0^\beta d\tau \mathcal{L}_E[\phi]}$$

• The reduced density matrix is:

$$ho_{\mathsf{A}}\left(\phi_+(x, \mathsf{0}^+); \phi_-(x, \mathsf{0}^-)
ight)$$

• In the limit $\beta \to \infty$:

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{\rho A}^{n} = \frac{Z_{n}}{Z^{n}}$$
, Partition function on a n-sheeted Riemann surface



Scaling of the Reny entropies

In particular Reny entropies are free energies on Riemann surfaces:

 $S_n \propto \log \operatorname{Tr} \rho_A^n = -[F_n - nF_1]$

Rk: Terms o (ε⁻²) and o (ε⁻¹) cancel in S_n (volume and area). Indeed:

$$S_n \sim A \varepsilon^{-d+2}$$
, (Area law)



• For a 1 + 1 CFT:

$$S_n = -\frac{1}{1-n}(F_n - nF_1) = \frac{c}{6}\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)\log\frac{l}{\varepsilon}$$



Huge correlations due to massless particles (how? which?)

The proof for a 1+1 CFT

There are (at least) three equivalent ways to get the 1+1 CFT result:

$$S_n = rac{c}{6} \left(1 + rac{1}{n}\right) \log rac{l}{arepsilon}$$

I chose this [Cardy, Les Houches lectures 2008]:

Apply a dilatation to the lattice:

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon &\to (1+\lambda)\varepsilon \\ \varepsilon \frac{\partial F_n}{\partial \varepsilon} &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{R}_n} \mathsf{d}^2 z \langle \Theta(z,\bar{z}) \rangle_{\mathcal{R}_n} \end{split}$$

However (Θ(z, z̄))_{R_n} = 0, but at the branch points where:

$$\langle T(z) \rangle_{\mathcal{R}_n} \sim \frac{1}{z^2} \frac{c}{24} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2} \right).$$

Result follows from:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{D}d^{2}z\langle\Theta\rangle_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}=-i\frac{n}{2\pi}\oint_{\gamma_{D}}dzz\langle T(z)\rangle_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}+\text{c.c.}$$



Jacopo Viti (SISSA)

Corrections to the (Field Theory) scaling limit for Reny Entropies

 The CFT result holds in the scaling limit *I* ≫ *ε*. However lattice effects can produce corrections:

$$S_n(l) = rac{c}{6} \left(1 + rac{1}{n}
ight) \log rac{l}{arepsilon} + \sum_{lpha < 0} A_lpha \left(rac{l}{arepsilon}
ight)^lpha$$

In [Calabrese et al. 2010] an analytic calculation of subleading term in ¹/_ε is reported for XX spin chain in zero magnetic field (c = 1).

$$S_n(I) = S_n^{CFT}(I) + (-1)^I f_n\left(\frac{I}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-\frac{2}{n}}$$

• That was checked numerically ($\alpha = n$):



- Can Field Theory predict this power?
- Rk: Actually XX Reny entropies are just twice as Ising!

Jacopo Viti (SISSA)

Detour: Two points function on a Riemann surface



Usual corrections to scaling in QFT

• Usual corrections to scaling in a lattice QFT are due to irrelevant operators:

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathit{scaling}} + \lambda \int \mathsf{d}^2 x \Phi(x)$$

- There are two scales in the action \mathcal{A} 1)correlation length ξ 2)cut off (lattice) $\lambda = g\varepsilon^{-d+X_{\Phi}}$
- Free energy is then corrected by:

$$\delta F = \lambda \int \mathsf{d}^2 x \langle \Phi(x)
angle_{\mathcal{A}_{scaling}} \propto \lambda \xi^{2-X_{\Phi}} = g \left(rac{\xi}{arepsilon}
ight)^{2-X_{\Phi}}$$

• We expect then, for $I \gg \xi$:

$$F = A + B\left(rac{\xi}{arepsilon}
ight)^{2-X_{\Phi}}$$



Image: Image:



Unusual corrections to scaling for Reny entropies

- After all S_n ∝ −(F_n − nF₁) and we computed at the fixed point with the conformal invariant action A_{CFT}
- Same formalism: corrections to scaling (lattice dependent quantities) are given by irrelevant operators:

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{CFT} + \lambda \int_{\mathcal{R}_n} \mathsf{d}^2 x \Phi(x); \qquad \lambda = g \varepsilon^{X_{\Phi} - 2}$$

• Perturbation theory in λ :

1

$$\delta F_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^{k+1}}{k!} \int_{\mathcal{R}_n} d^2 z_1 \int_{\mathcal{R}_n} d^2 z_k \langle \Phi(z_1) ... \Phi(z_k) \rangle_{\mathcal{R}_n},$$

contains UV divergences regulated by a cut-off $\varepsilon^{-\alpha}$.

• But *F_n* is dimensionless and only other length in the theory is *I*:

$$\delta F_n \sim o\left(\frac{I}{\varepsilon}\right)^{lpha}$$



Analysis of divergences: irrelevant operators

• First contribution to δF_n is:

$$\delta F_n = -\frac{g^2 \varepsilon^{2(x_{\Phi}-2)}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{R}_n} d^2 z_1 \int_{\mathcal{R}_n} d^2 z_2 \langle \Phi(z_1) \Phi(z_2) \rangle_{\mathcal{R}_n}$$



$Z_1 \rightarrow Z_2$

Singularity is proportional to:

Area $(\mathcal{R}_n)\varepsilon^{-2}$

- Cancels in $F_n nF_1$!
- Prefactor produces:

$$\delta S_n \propto \left(\frac{I}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2(2-X_{\Phi})}$$

Observed numerically?

$z_1 \rightarrow 0$ (or I)

• Two points functions goes like:

$$\langle \Phi(z_1) \Phi(z_2) \rangle_{\mathcal{R}_n} \sim \varepsilon^{\left(\frac{1}{n}-1\right)X_{\Phi}}$$

• Corrections to scalings are:

$$\delta S_n \propto \left(\frac{l}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-\left(\frac{1}{n}+1\right)X_{\Phi}+2}, \ \left(\frac{l}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-2\frac{X_{\Phi}}{n}}$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Relevant operators

- However nothing prevent the presence of relevant operators at the branch point, even flowing to a fixed point in the bulk!
- Example: Ising model



Near the branch points...

- Ising spin σ_i has 4 nn
- Ising disorder variable μ_i have $4 \times n$ nn
- Model cannot be critical near the branch point!
- We allow in the field theory action not only irrelevant perturbations but relevant operators localized on the branch points:

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{CFT} + \sum_{j} \lambda_{j} \int d^{2}x \Phi_{j}(x) + \sum_{P,k} \lambda_{k} \Phi_{k}^{(n)}(P); \quad \dim \Phi_{k}^{(n)}(P) = \frac{X_{\Phi}}{n}$$

Immediately gives a corrections to scaling of type:

$$\delta S_n \sim \left(\frac{l}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-\frac{2X_\Phi}{n}} \quad X_\Phi < 2$$

Final comment: marginal case

• In the case exists a marginal (irrelevant) perturbation $X_{\Phi} = 2$

$$S_n\left(rac{l}{arepsilon}
ight) = rac{c_{eff}\left(rac{l}{arepsilon}
ight)}{1+n^{-1}}\lograc{l}{arepsilon}$$
 with:

$$c_{eff}\left(\frac{l}{\varepsilon}\right) = c - \frac{1}{b^2 \log^3\left(\frac{l}{\varepsilon}\right)}$$
 b from: $\Phi \cdot \Phi = \mathbf{1} + b\Phi$

Question: It is possible to think c_{eff}(I) as a C function? Actually not but...

RK: [Casini-Huerta 2006]

• Take the Von Neumman entropy $S_1(I)$,

$$C(I) \equiv I \frac{d}{dI} S_1(I)$$

is a C function:

- 1. Is monotonically decreasing with the $\frac{I}{\varepsilon}$
- 2. $C(\frac{l}{\xi} \rightarrow 0)$ is the central charge



What I tried to tell you...

 The behaviour of entanglement entropies for 1 + 1 dimensional systems e.g. critical spin chain is subjected to corrections

$$\left(\frac{I}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\alpha},$$

due to the lattice.

• The exponent α can be computed within (Conformal) Field theory and we get an unusual correction of type:

$$\left(rac{I}{arepsilon}
ight)^{-2rac{X_{\Phi}}{n}}; \quad X_{\Phi} < 2$$

- For the case of the *XX* spin chain (\sim 2lsing) this is consistent with $X_{\Phi} = 1$ i.e. to a coupling to the energy at the branch points
- Of course other checks are needed: in particular modifying near the branch points the Hamiltonian, we can get rid of these corrections completely?

References

- Basic on Entanglement entropy in 1+1 dimension:
 - Calabrese-Cardy; Entanglement entropy and Quantum Field Theory; arXiv: 0905.4013; 2009
 - Casini-Huerta; A c theorem for entanglement entropy; arXiv: cond-mat/0610375; 2006
 - Castro Alvaredo-Doyon; Bipartite entanglement entropy in 1+1 massive QFT; arXiv: 0906.2946; 2009
- This Journal Club:
 - Cardy-Calabrese; Unusual corrections to scaling in Entanglement entropy; arXiv: 1002.4353; 2010
 - Calabrese et al.; Parity effects in the scaling block entanglement in gapless spin chains; arXiv: 0911.4660; 2009